# APOLLO

# AUTOMATIC DETECTION AND DIAGNOSIS OF PERFORMANCE REGRESSIONS IN DATABASE SYSTEMS

Jinho Jung, Hong Hu, Joy Arulraj, Taesoo Kim, Woonhak Kang\* Georgia

### APOLLO

Holistic toolchain for debugging DBMS

### AUTOMATICALLY FIND SQL QUERIES EXHIBITING PERFORMANCE REGRESSIONS

**2** AUTOMATICALLY DIAGNOSE THE ROOT CAUSE OF PERFORMANCE REGRESSIONS





### **MOTIVATION: DBMS COMPLEXITY**





### **MOTIVATION: PERFORMANCE REGRESSIONS**

### CHALLENGING TO BUILD SYSTEM WITH PREDICTABLE PERFORMANCE

#### RE: Query became very slow after 9.6

From:	"Alex Ignatov" <a(dot)ignatov(at)postgrespro(dot)ru></a(dot)ignatov(at)postgrespro(dot)ru>
To:	"'Dmitry Shalashov'" <skaurus(at)gmail(dot)com></skaurus(at)gmail(dot)com>
Cc:	<pgsql-performance(at)postgresql(dot)org></pgsql-performance(at)postgresql(dot)org>
Subject:	RE: Query became very slow after 9.6 -> 10 upgrade
Date:	2017-11-22 14:44:18
Message-ID	:137da01d363a0\$603cc970\$20b65c50\$@postgrespro
Views:	Raw Message   Whole Thread   Download mbox   R
Thread:	2017-11-22 14:44:18 from "Alex Ignatov" <a(dot)ig< td=""></a(dot)ig<>
Lists:	pgsgl-hackers pgsgl-performance

#### Query: https://pastebin.com/9b953tT7

It was running under 3 seconds (it's our default timeout) and

MySQL					Login /		
Developer Zone Bugs	Home Report a bug	Statistics	Advanced searc	h Saved searche	s Tags		
Bug #87164 Queries running much slower in version 5.7 versus 5.6							
Submitted:	21 Jul 2017 16:28			Modified:	19 Apr 2018 5:46		
Reporter:	Alok Pathak		1	Email Updates:	Subscribe		
Status:	Won't fix			Impact on me:	None Affects Me		
Category:	MySQL Server: Optimi	zer		Severity:	S3 (Non-critical)		
Version:	5.7, 5.7.18, 5.7.19			OS:	CentOS (6 & 7)		
Assigned to:			CPU	J Architecture:	Any		
Tags:	regression						
View Add Comm	ent Files Develo	oper Edi	Submission	View Progress Log	g Contributions		
[21 Jul 2017 16:28] Alok Pathak Description: After upgrading to MySQL 5.7, some queries are running very slow, taking abnormally long time in statistics s							



### **MOTIVATION: PERFORMANCE REGRESSIONS**

### CHALLENGING TO BUILD SYSTEM WITH *PREDICTABLE* PERFORMANCE

- Scenario: User upgrades a DBMS installation
  - Query suddenly takes 10 times longer to execute
  - Due to unexpected interactions between different components
     Refer to this behavior as a <u>performance regression</u>
- Performance regression can hurt user productivity
   Can easily convert an interactive query to an overnight one



### **MOTIVATION: PERFORMANCE REGRESSIONS**

SELECT R0.S\_DIST\_06
FROM PUBLIC.STOCK AS R0
WHERE (R0.S\_W\_ID < CAST(LEAST(0, 1) AS INT8))</pre>

> 10,000x slowdown

LATEST VERSION

**OF POSTGRESQL** 

- Due to a recent optimizer update
  - New policy for choosing the scan algorithm
  - Resulted in over-estimating the number of rows in the table
  - Earlier version: Fast bitmap scan
  - Latest version: Slow sequential scan



### **MOTIVATION: DETECTING REGRESSIONS**

# **1** HOW TO DISCOVER QUERIES EXHIBITING REGRESSIONS?





### **MOTIVATION: REPORTING REGRESSIONS**

# **2** HOW TO SIMPLIFY QUERIES FOR REPORTING REGRESSION?





### **MOTIVATION: DIAGNOSING REGRESSIONS**

## **B** HOW TO DIAGNOSE THE ROOT CAUSE OF THE REGRESSION?





### **APOLLO TOOLCHAIN**



### **APOLLO TOOLCHAIN**



### **SQLMIN: BI-DIRECTIONAL QUERY REDUCTION ALGORITHMS**



### **APOLLO TOOLCHAIN**



### SQLDEBUG: STATISTICAL DEBUGGING + COMMIT BISECTION



### **TALK OVERVIEW**



![](_page_12_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_13_Figure_1.jpeg)

Tech

Georgia

Tech

### **1** QUERY GENERATOR: RANDOM QUERY GENERATION

![](_page_14_Figure_2.jpeg)

### **2** QUERY EXECUTOR: FEEDBACK-DRIVEN FUZZING

![](_page_15_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_15_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_15_Picture_4.jpeg)

### **B** REGRESSION VALIDATOR: REDUCING FALSE POSITIVES

### **Filtering rules**

![](_page_16_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_16_Picture_4.jpeg)

### **TALK OVERVIEW**

![](_page_17_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_17_Picture_2.jpeg)

- Bottom-up Query Reduction
  - Extract valid sub-query

- Top-down Query Reduction
  - Iteratively removes unnecessary expressions

![](_page_18_Picture_5.jpeg)

```
SELECT S1.C2
FROM (
  SELECT
    CASE WHEN EXISTS (
        SELECT S0.C0
        FROM ORDER AS R1
        WHERE ((S0.C0 = 10) \text{ AND } (S0.C1 \text{ IS NULL}))
    ) THEN SO.CO END AS C2,
  FROM (
     SELECT R0.I_PRICE AS C0, R0.I_DATA AS C1,
        (SELECT ID FROM ITEM) AS C2
    FROM ITEM AS R0
    WHERE R0.PRICE IS NOT NULL
        OR (R0.PRICE IS NOT S1.C2)
    LIMIT 1000) AS S0) AS S1;
```

Ge

![](_page_20_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_21_Figure_1.jpeg)

### TOP-DOWN REDUCTION REMOVE ELEMENTS

Remove condition

Remove columns Remove sub-queries

Remove clause

### **SELECT** CASE WHEN EXISTS ( SELECT S0.C0 FROM ORDER AS R1 WHERE ((S0.C0 = 10))) THEN SO.CO END AS C2, FROM ( **SELECT** R0.I\_PRICE **AS** C0, FROM ITEM AS R0 WHERE R0.PRICE IS NOT NULL) AS S0) **AS** S1;

![](_page_22_Picture_2.jpeg)

### **TALK OVERVIEW**

![](_page_23_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_23_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_24_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_24_Picture_2.jpeg)

# COMMIT BISECTION: FIND EARLIEST PROBLEMATIC COMMIT

![](_page_25_Figure_2.jpeg)

OLD VERSION (FAST QUERY EXECUTION)

### **PROBLEM BEGINS HERE!**

NEW VERSION (SLOW QUERY EXECUTION)

# **QUERY REDUCTION: PARTIALLY REDUCED QUERIES**

Georgia

![](_page_26_Figure_2.jpeg)

27

### **B** CONTROL-FLOW GRAPH COMPARISON: ALIGN TRACES

#### **Functions**

![](_page_27_Figure_3.jpeg)

## **B** CONTROL-FLOW GRAPH COMPARISON: ALIGN TRACES

![](_page_28_Figure_2.jpeg)

### **3** CONTROL-FLOW GRAPH COMPARISON: ALIGN TRACES

![](_page_29_Figure_2.jpeg)

## **4** STATISTICAL DEBUGGING: FAST AND SLOW QUERY TRACES

![](_page_30_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_30_Picture_3.jpeg)

# **4** STATISTICAL DEBUGGING: FAST AND SLOW QUERY TRACES

![](_page_31_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_31_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_32_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_32_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_32_Picture_2.jpeg)

### **EVALUATION**

- Tested database systems
   PostgreSQL, SQLite
- Binary instrumentation to get control flow graphs
   DynamoRIO instrumentation tool
- Evaluation

Georgia

- Efficacy of SQLFuzz in detecting regressions?
- Efficacy of SQLMin in reducing queries?

• Accuracy of SQLDebug in diagnosing regressions?

![](_page_34_Figure_1.jpeg)

JINHO JUNG (JINHO.JUNG@GATECH.EDU)

### **#1: SQLFUZZ — FALSE POSITIVES**

![](_page_35_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_35_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_36_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_37_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_37_Picture_2.jpeg)

### **CASE STUDY #1: OPTIMIZER UPDATE**

![](_page_38_Figure_1.jpeg)

> 1000x
slow down
LATEST VERSION
OF SQLITE

- Due to a bug fix (for a correctness bug)
  - Breaks query optimization

Optimizer no longer transforms the LEFT JOIN operator

![](_page_38_Picture_6.jpeg)

### **CASE STUDY #2: EXECUTION ENGINE UPDATE**

![](_page_39_Figure_1.jpeg)

**3x slow down** LATEST VERSION

**OF POSTGRESQL** 

Hashed aggregation executor update

Resulted in redundantly building hash tables

![](_page_39_Picture_5.jpeg)

### CONCLUSION

- APOLLO (v1.0)
  - Toolchain for detecting & diagnosing regressions
     Open-sourced: <u>https://github.com/sslab-gatech/apollo</u>
- Adding support for other types of bugs (v2.0)
  - Correctness bugs
  - Performance bugs
  - Database corruption

![](_page_40_Picture_7.jpeg)

- Interested in integrating APOLLO with more DBMSs
   Discovered > 5 performance regressions in CockroachDB
   Improve the toolchain based on developer feedback
- Automation will help reduce labor of developing DBMSs
   Developers get to focus on more important problems

![](_page_41_Picture_3.jpeg)

### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Supported by:

![](_page_42_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_42_Picture_3.jpeg)

Developers:

PostgreSQL

![](_page_42_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_42_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_42_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_43_Picture_0.jpeg)

### JINHO.JUNG@GATECH.EDU