Practical and Effective Sandboxing for Non-root users

Taesoo Kim and Nickolai Zeldovich

MIT CSAIL

Why yet another sandbox for desktop applications?

- There are many existing sandbox mechanisms
 - Chroot / Lxc (Unix/Linux)
 - Jail (Freebsd)
 - Seatbelt (Mac OS X)
 - VM?

. . .

• Difficult-to-use, requiring root privilege, or slow!



[c]ommit, [d]iff, [i]gnore, [l]ist, [s]hell, [q]uit ?>

TL;DR

Sandbox Root:

- > /tmp/sandbox-11275
- > N:/tmp/index.html

[c]ommit, [d]iff, [i]gnore, [l]ist, [s]hell, [q]uit ?>

TL;DR

```
$ mbox -- ./downloaded-bin
. . .
<u>Network Summary:</u>
 > [11279] -> 173.194.43.51:80
 > [11279] Create socket(PF INET,...)
 > [11279] -> a00::2607:f8b0:4006:803:0
 . . .
Sandbox Root:
                                Protecting the host filesystem
 > /tmp/sandbox-11275
                                from modification
    N:/tmp/index.html
 >
[c]ommit, [d]iff, [i]gnore, [l]ist, [s]hell, [q]uit ?>
```

TL;DR

```
$ mbox -- ./downloaded-bin
. . .
<u>Network Summary:</u>
 > [11279] -> 173.194.43.51:80
 > [11279] Create socket(PF INET,...)
 > [11279] -> a00::2607:f8b0:4006:803:0
 . . .
Sandbox Root:
 > /tmp/sandbox-11275
 > N:/tmp/index.html
[c]ommit, [d]iff, [i]gnore, [l]ist, [s]hell, [q]uit ?>
                Revision-control-system like interface
```

```
Without root privilege! I L;DR
                ./downloaded-bin
     $ mbox -)-
      . . .
     <u>Network Summary:</u>
      > [11279] -> 173.194.43.51:80
      > [11279] Create socket(PF INET,...)
      > [11279] -> a00::2607:f8b0:4006:803:0
       . . .
     Sandbox Root:
      > /tmp/sandbox-11275
      > N:/tmp/index.html
     [c]ommit, [d]iff, [i]gnore, [l]ist, [s]hell, [q]uit ?>
```

Design overview

- Layered sandbox filesystem
 - Overlaying the host filesystem
 - Confining modification made by sandboxed processes
 - Persistent storage: in fact, just a regular directory

- System call interposition
 - Commodity OSes provide one for non-root users
 - Enabling a variety of applications: installing pkgs, restricting network, build/dev. env ...

Design overview

- Layered sandbox filesystem
 - Overlaying the host filesystem
 - Confining modification made by sandboxed processes
 - Persistent storage: in fact, just a regular directory

- System call interposition
 - Commodity OSes provide one for non-root users
 - Enabling a variety of applications: installing pkgs, restricting network, build/dev. env ...

Installing packages as normal user

- \$ mbox -R -- apt-get install git
- (-R: emulate a fakeroot environment)
- Mbox provides a writable sandbox layer on top of the host filesystem
 - User owns the sandbox directory
 - Contain newly installed files, and package databases
- Mbox emulates a fakeroot environment
 - Use standard package managers without modification
 - Support: apt-get (Ubuntu), dpkg (Debian), pip (Python)

Running unknown binary safely

- \$ mbox -n -- ./downloaded-bin
 (-n: disable remote network accesses)
- Mbox protects the host filesystem from modifications
- Mbox restricts or monitors network accesses
 - Interpret socket-like system calls
 - Summarize network activity when terminated

Checkpointing filesystem

- \$ mbox -i -- emacs ~/.emacs
- (-i: enable interactive commit-mode)



Checkpointing filesystem

- \$ mbox -i -- emacs ~/.emacs
- (-i: enable interactive commit-mode)



Checkpointing filesystem

- \$ mbox -i -- emacs ~/.emacs
- (-i: enable interactive commit-mode)





Build/development environment



• Mbox can separate out the generated obj files

- make clean == rm -rf outdir

- Mbox can also be used for virtual dev. env.
 - Install packages with standard package managers

Outline

- Motivation / use cases
- Layered sandbox filesystem
- System call interposition (using seccomp/BPF)
- Implementation / evaluation
- Related work
- Summary



Sandbox		
filesystem		
mesystem		
Host		
filesystem	.emacs	





Sandbox		
filesystem		
Host		
filesystem	.emacs	



Sandbox	
filesystem	.emacs < Copy
Host	
filesystem	.emacs



Copy-on-write by rewriting path arguments





Copy-on-write by rewriting path arguments





All subsequent read/write should happen on the sandbox filesystem



All subsequent read/write should happen on the sandbox filesystem

/tmp/sbox/home/taesoo/.emacs





Sandbox filesystem keeps track of deleted files

Sandboxed proc	ess	$\overline{}$
	unlink(".emacs")	
		Mbox
		Hashmap of deleted files
		.emacs
Sandbox		
filesystem		
Host		
filesystem	.emacs	

Sandbox filesystem keeps track of deleted files



Mbox doesn't have to interpose on every system call

fd = open(".emacs", R)

read(fd, buf, size)

fd = open(".emacs", RW) write(fd, buf, size)

- After redirecting the path in open(), we don't have to interpose on read/write() system calls
- Mbox needs to interpose on 48 system calls getting a path argument to provide a layered sandbox filesystem

Mechanism: system call interposition

- Ptrace is a common technique, but slow
 - Interpose entry/exit of every system call
 - Serialize system calls of child processes
- Using seccomp/BPF (>= Linux 3.5)
 - Seccomp is a security mechanism for isolating a process by allowing a certain set of system calls
 - Seccomp/BPF uses BPF (Berkeley Packet Filter) to specify rules for filtering system calls



User space

Kernel

BPF program for interposition Mbox ① prctl() User space Kernel Seccomp/BPF BPF_STMT(LD, OFF_SYSCALL) BPF_JUMP(#open, 0, 1) BPF_STMT(RET, TRACE) ... BPF_STMT(RET, ALLOWED) BPF 32

BPF program for interposition Mbox ① prctl() User space Kernel Seccomp/BPF BPF_STMT(LD, OFF_SYSCALL) BPF_JUMP(#open, 0, 1) BPF_STMT(RET, TRACE) ... BPF_STMT(RET, ALLOWED) BPF 33









More story to come ...

- How to avoid time-of-check-to-time-of-use?
- How to avoid replicating OS state?

Please, check the paper!

Implementation

- Mbox: a prototype for Linux (>= 3.5, x86-64)
 - Using seccomp/BPF and ptrace
 - Extending strace 4.7
 - 1,500 Lines of code
 - Distributions: Ubuntu 12.04 and Arch 64bit

Performance evaluation

- How much overhead does Mbox exhibit?
- How much faster is seccomp/BPF than ptrace?

Benchmark

Task	Description
Octave	Octave Benchmark calculating matrix
Zip	Compress source files of Linux 3.8
Untar	Decompress source files of Linux 3.8
Build Linux (-j1)	Compile Linux 3.8 kernel

- Following the benchmark from Apiary
- Run each benchmark in three configurations
 - Normal
 - Mbox with ptrace
 - Mbox with seccomp/BPF

Mbox imposes modest end-to-end performance overhead

Tack	Nexmael	Mbox		
TASK	Normai	Seccor	mp/BPF	
Octave	2.1s	2.1s	0.1%	
Zip	15.6s	17.4s	12.0%	
Untar	13.6s	16.4s	20.9%	
Build Linux (-j1)	43.6s	49.7s	13.9%	

- 0.1% ~ 20.9% overhead
- Octave: a computation-heavy workload
 - Exhibits negligible performance overhead (0.1%)
 - Spends 98% of its execution in userspace

Seccomp/BPF reduces the interposition overhead

Task	Normal	Mbox			
		Ptr	ace	Seccon	np/BPF
Octave	2.1s	2.1s	0.1%	2.1s	0.1%
Zip	15.6s	21.2s	36.5%	17.4s	12.0%
Untar	13.6s	19.0s	40.3%	16.4s	20.9%
Build Linux (-j1)	43.6s	53.2s	21.9%	49.7s	13.9%

- Compare overheads of using ptrace and seccomp/BPF
- Seccomp/BPF reduces overhead up to 24.5%

Seccomp/BPF has better concurrency than ptrace

Task	Normal	Mbox			
	NOITIAI	Ptr	ace	Seccon	np/BPF
Build Linux (-j1)	43.6s	53.2s	21.9%	49.7s	13.9%
Build Linux (-j4)	21.7s	45.6s	110.1%	31.5s	45.2%

- When compiling the Linux kernel with 4 parallel jobs, performance improves 64.9% compared to ptrace
- By avoiding unnecessary serialization of system calls, multiple processes execute system calls concurrently

Seccomp/BPF has better concurrency than ptrace

Task	Normal	Mbox			
	NOTTIAL	Ptr	ace	Seccon	np/BPF
Build Linux (-j1)	43.6s	53.2s	21.9%	49.7s	13.9%
Build Linux (-j4)	21.7s	45.6s	110.1%	31.5s	45.2%

- When compiling the Linux kernel with 4 parallel jobs, performance improves 64.9% compared to ptrace
- By avoiding unnecessary serialization of system calls, multiple processes execute system calls concurrently

Related work

- Layered filesystems: UnionFS [Quigley '06] / Aufs
 - Following unification rules / copy-on-write
 - → Require no modifications in commodity OSes
- **System call interposition**: Ostia [Garfinkel '04]
 - Enforcing security policies / studied common pitfalls
 - → Summarize our experience of using seccomp/BPF
- **Namespace**: Plan9 [Pike '90] / Lxc container (Docker)
 - Private namespace for each process
 - → Enabling various applications via system call interposition

Summary

Mbox: a lightweight sandboxing mechanism

- Layered sandbox filesystem
- Revision-control-system like sandbox usage model
- Interposing on system calls with **seccomp/BPF**
- Enabling a variety of applications for **non-root** users

http://pdos.csail.mit.edu/mbox

Questions (if you don't have any)

- What if files are modified by other processes running outside of Mbox?
- Why 20% on tar? just rewriting path arguments doesn't seem to be demanding work.
- How complicated the BPF program? Why not implement everything in BPF then?
- Why does Mbox support only 64bit? and is Mbox ready for users (not developers)?
- Can Mbox be used for A, B and C ... ?